Technical Appendix 7.1: Phase 1 and NVC Habitat Surveys 2023

Introduction

1. This report presents the habitat survey work that has been carried out for the proposed Killean Wind Farm (the 'Proposed Development'). The surveys were undertaken by Steve Percival, a highly experienced ecological surveyor with over 20 years ecological surveying for renewable energy projects (exceeding CIEEM competency requirements).

Study Area

2. The Proposed Development is located about 22km north of Campbeltown in Argyll. The survey area was chosen to include all areas within the potential zone of ecological influence of the proposed development and a buffer around that to be contextual information on the site's habitats. The survey area covered a total area of 12.4 km² (see Figure 1 and 2). It comprised predominantly commercial forestry plantation and upland moorland habitat. It lies within the 'Argyll West and Islands' NatureScot Natural Heritage Zone (NHZ 14).

Survey Methods

Phase 1 Habitat Survey Methods

3. An extended Phase 1 survey was carried out during 1-3 August 2023, including identification and mapping of the vegetation communities present within the study area, following the standard (JNCC 2016¹) Phase 1 survey methodology. Any rare or scarce plant species found were also recorded, and habitat suitability was assessed for protected species (to inform the need for any further surveys). Aerial photography was used to help define habitat boundaries.

NVC Habitat Survey Methods

- 4. Further, more detailed, habitat surveys (Phase 2) were undertaken to map the NVC across the site at the same time as the Phase 1 surveys. This included the acquisition of vegetation species composition and percentage cover data from a series of representative quadrats from each community. These data also informed the potential Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems (GWDTE) within the site. These were mapped and have been assessed as part of the hydrological impact assessment (see Chapter 9).
- 5. The vegetation communities within each of the survey fields were mapped to a minimum mappable polygon size of 150m². At least five 2x2m quadrat samples of vegetation composition and cover (recorded to the estimated percentage cover) were taken in each vegetation class of the main stand types (following Rodwell *et al.* 1992²). A total of 81 quadrats were sampled. The field quadrat samples were assigned to NVC class using the MAVIS analysis software (Smart *et al.* 2016³) and professional judgement. The condition of the habitats was assessed using the JNCC Common Standards Monitoring (JNCC 2009).

Limitations and Assumptions

6. No significant information gaps have been identified. Inevitably with any ecological survey it cannot be guaranteed to detect all target species/individuals and surveys cannot be fully representative of all conditions (e.g. severely reduced visibility). However, in this case it was concluded that the baseline surveys provide a robust baseline data set.

Survey Results

Phase 1/NVC habitats

7. The Phase 1 habitats recorded in the survey area are summarised in Table 7.1.1, and their distributions are shown in EIAR

Figure 7.3. Table 7.6 also gives details of the NVC communities recorded and their distributions are shown in EIAR Figure 7.4. The Table also gives the EUNIS codes for each habitat type⁴.

8. Summary quadrat data for each vegetation type are given in Appendix 7.1.1. This includes a species list, mean percentage cover and constancy value (1-5, after Rodwell *et al.* 1992).

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 1

¹ JNCC 2016. Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey. A technique for environmental audit.

² Rodwell, J. S. et al. (1992) British Plant Communities: Volume 3 Grasslands and montane communities, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

³ Smart, S., Goodwin, A., Wallace, H. and Jones, M. (2016). MAVIS (Ver 1.03) User Manual. <u>https://www.ceh.ac.uk/services/modular-analysis-vegetation-information-system-mavis</u>

⁴ https://www.nature.scot/doc/naturescot-commissioned-report-766-manual-terrestrial-eunis-habitats-scotland

9. Summaries of the JNCC (2009) CSM Habitat Condition Assessment are given in Appendix 7.1.2, for the main habitats of conservation value. All were in Favourable condition, apart from the M25a wet modified bog/blanket bog (as a result of a low diversity and low cover of positive indicator species). Habitats were largely in Favourable condition as a result of only a low grazing pressure (there was no stock grazing the eastern moorland area and only low sheep-grazing levels on the western part) and an absence of evidence of any recent burning.

Phase 1 Habitat	Phase 1 Code	NVC Class	EUNIS Code	Total Area (ha.)	% Survey Area
Acid flush	E2.1	M29	D2.3#	0.03	0.002%
		M6d	D2.22	6.72	0.56%
Acid grass	B1.1	U4a	E1.72	8.46	0.70%
Blanket bog	E1.6.1	M17a	D1.21	97.70	8.08%
		M17b	D1.21	37.89	3.13%
		M19a	D1.22	168.5	13.9%
Bracken	C1.1	U20	E5.31	8.49	0.70%
		U20a	E5.31	16.78	1.39%
		U20c	E5.31	0.88	0.07%
Broad-leaved plantation	A1.1.2	W9	G1.A2#1	4.80	0.40%
Broad-leaved semi-natural woodland	A1.1.1	W7	G1.21	9.80	0.81%
Coniferous plantation	A1.2.2	-	-	329.3	27.2%
Recently-felled conifer	A4.2	-	-	215.8	17.9%
Dry heath	D1.1	H10c	F4.25	0.40	0.03%
		H12	F4.21	73.95	6.12%
		H21a	F4.21	1.42	0.12%
Improved grassland	B4	MG7	E2.6	0.73	0.06%
Marshy grassland (rush pasture)	В5	M23	E3.42	31.38	2.60%
		M23a	E3.42	72.68	6.01%
		M23b	E3.41	4.05	0.33%
Mixed plantation	A1.3.2	-	-	2.20	0.18%
Neutral grass - semi-improved	B2.2	MG6	E2.112	14.40	1.19%
	B6	MG10	E3.44	10.47	0.87%
Bog pool		M1	D1.21	0.02	<0.01%
		M3	D1.21	0.01	<0.01%
Open water	G1	-	-	10.94	0.90%
Quarry (disused)	12.1	-	-	0.28	0.02%
Scrub - dense/continuous	A2.1	W23	E3.14	2.60	0.21%
		W7	E1.41	4.94	0.41%
Wet heath	D2	M15	F4.11	1.81	0.15%
		M15b	F4.11	24.50	2.03%
		M15c	F4.11	14.25	1.18%
		M15d	F4.11	12.94	1.07%
Wet modified bog	E1.7	M17a	D1.21	5.06	0.42%
		M19a	D1.22	5.23	0.43%
		M25a	D1.21	9.69	0.80%

Table 7.1.1: Phase 1 and NVC habitats within the ecology survey area.

Coniferous plantation and clearfell

10. Much of the survey area was commercial coniferous plantation of various ages (including recent clear-fell), mainly comprising Sitka spruce *Picea sitchensis*. It covered 45% of the survey area, and the large majority of the wind farm itself (including 8 of the 9 wind turbines).

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment
Report Technical AppendicesTechnical Appendix 7-1: Phase 1 and NVC
Habitat Surveys 2023

Broad-leaved semi-natural woodland

11. Semi-natural broad-leaved woodland was found mainly in the lower western part of the survey area along the Killean Burn, with 9.8ha. (0.8% of the survey area) in total (plus a further 7.5ha. of scrub). None of this has been identified as ancient woodland. It was classed as NVC community W7.

Broad-leaved/mixed plantation

12. There were small areas of broad-leaved plantation, also mainly along the Killean Burn (4.8ha., 0.4% of the survey area), and a smaller area of mixed plantation (2.2ha. 0.2% of the survey area).

Scrub

13. This was also found mainly along the Killean Burn and along some of the watercourses within the clearfell/forestry. Most (4.9ha.) was goat willow-dominated wet woody scrub (W7), though also with some gorse (W23) (2.6ha.).

Blanket Bog

- 14. Blanket bog covered 25% of the survey area (304ha) and supported a species-rich peatland community. This included *Sphagnum* bog mosses, and abundant dwarf shrubs including heather and cross-leaved heath *Erica* tetralix. One of the wind turbines would be located on blanket bog (though on relative shallow peat of less than 1m depth).
- 15. The blanket bog habitat was classed as three NVC communities, M17a, M17b and M19a.

Wet Modified Bog

16. Wet modified bog was more restricted, covering 1.7% of the survey area (20ha). This habitat type was classified as M17a, M19a and M25a Purple moor grass *Molinia caerulea* - Tormentil *Potentilla e*recta mire (where purple moor-grass was extensive and dominant, with little bog moss *Sphagnum* or dwarf shrub cover).

Bog pool

17. There were a small number of bog pools within the blanket bog habitat in the eastern part of the survey area, including M1 and M3.

Dry Heath

18. Dry heathland habitats were common in the open moorland on shallower soils, covering 6.3% of the survey area (76ha). It was predominantly classed as NVC community H12, though some smaller areas of H10c and H21a were also found. It was found mainly in the lower western part of the site, though also with some small remnant patches within the conifer forestry (including within the wind farm) (see Figure 7.3).

Wet Heath

19. Wet heathland habitats were scarcer than the mires and dry heath, covering 4.4% of the survey area (53ha). It was classed as NVC communities M15b, M15c and M15d. It was widely distributed across the survey area, though with only a few small remnant patches within the proposed wind farm itself (see Figure 7.3).

Acid Flush

20. Small areas of acid flush (6.8ha) were scattered across the eastern part of the survey area, covering only 0.6% of the survey area (Figure 8.4). They were mainly found along watercourses. This habitat type comprises a combination of rushes and/or sedges over a thick layer of *Sphagnum* mosses and *Polytrichum commune*. It was classified as NVC community M6d *Carex echinata* - *Sphagnum fallax/denticulatum* mire. There was also a small patch of M29 acid flush (0.03ha, see Figure 8.4).

Marshy Grassland

21. Marshy grassland was another common Phase 1 habitat, covering 8.9% of the survey area. It was found mainly in the western part of the survey area (Figure 8.4). Two NVC communities were identified within the marshy grassland habitat:

- M23a Soft/sharp-flowered rush *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus* Marsh bedstraw *Galium palustre* rush pasture Juncus acutiflorus sub-community). It was much the most frequent M23 sub-community (95% was this type).
- M23b Soft/sharp-flowered rush *Juncus effusus/acutiflorus* Marsh bedstraw *Galium palustre* rush pasture Juncus effusus sub-community).

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report		Technical Appendix 7-1: Phase 1 and NVC Habitat
Technical Appendices	TA 7.1 - 3	Surveys 2023

nservation Value

High

High

Negligible

Bracken

Patches of bracken-dominated vegetation were widespread in drier parts of the western part of the survey area. A total of 26ha. (2.2%) of the survey area was covered in continuous bracken habitat. It was classed as NVC community U20a *Pteridium aquilinum - Galium saxatile* community (95%) and U20c (5%).

Acid Grassland

23. Small areas of acid grassland (8.5ha.) were located within the western part of the survey area (Figure 8.4). They were classed as NVC community U4d.

Neutral semi-improved and improved grassland

24. Much of the land alongside the lower parts of the site access track is agricultural grassland of these types (Figure 8.4). They covered a total area of 26ha. (2.1% of the survey area). Most were classified as MG10 and MG6, with a smaller area of more improved MG7.

Groundwater Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems

- 25. Three of the NVC communities recorded have been identified by SEPA⁵ as having high potential to be GWDTE:
 - Marshy grassland (M23);
 - Wet broad-leaved woodland/scrub (W7); and
 - Acid flush (M6, M29).
- 26. A further three habitats have moderate potential to be GWDTE:
 - Wet heath (M15);
 - Wet modified bog (M25); and
 - Neutral (semi-improved grassland (MG10).
- 27. The distribution of these habitats across the site is shown in EIAR Figures 7.3 and 7.4.

Ecological Conservation Evaluation

M1

М3

U20a

Bog pool

Bracken

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Conservation Evaluation of Habitats

28. The conservation value of the habitats was determined using the criteria specified in Table 7.2. The results are summarised in Table 7.8. All of the species with very high - low value have been taken forward in the ecological assessment (i.e. only those with negligible value have been scoped out).

	*						
Habitat	NVC	EU Habs Dir priority	UK BAP priority habitat	Scottish BAP habitat	Argyll LBAP habitat	Potential GWDTE	Cons
Acid flush	M6d	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	High	High
	M29	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	High	High
Acid grass	U4a						
Blanket bog	M17a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓		High
	M17b	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
	M19a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Table 7.8: Conservation Evaluation of the Habitats in the Killean Wind Farm survey area

Broad- leaved woodland	W7	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	High	High
	W9	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
Broad-leaved plantation	n/a						Negligible
Coniferous plantation	n/a						Negligible
Recently-felled conifer	n/a						Negligible
Dry heath	H10c	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
	H12	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

⁵ https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/144266/lups-gu31-guidance-on-assessing-the-impacts-of-development-proposals-on-groundwater-abstractions.pdf

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 4

Habitat	NVC	EU Habs Dir priority	UK BAP priority habitat	Scottish BAP habitat	Argyll LBAP habitat	Potential GWDTE	Conservation Value
	H21a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
Improved grassland	MG6a						Negligible
Marshy grassland	M23a		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	High	Medium
Mixed plantation	n/a						Negligible
Neutral grass - semi- improved	MG6						Negligible
	MG10					Medium	Negligible
Scrub - dense/continuous	W7		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	High	Medium
	W23						Negligible
Wet heath	M15b	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Medium	High
	M15c	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Medium	High
	M15d	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Medium	High
Wet modified bog	M17a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
	M19a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		High
	M25a	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Medium	High

- 29. Seven habitats were classed as high sensitivity, though their listing as EU Habitats Directive Annex 1 habitats: blanket bog, bog pool, dry heath, wet heath, wet modified bog, acid flush and broad-leaved woodland.
- 30. Two habitats were classed as medium conservation value: scrub and marshy grassland (rush pasture). Both were classed as medium value for their listing as UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)/Scottish Biodiversity List priority habitats.

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 5

APPENDIX 7.1.1. HABITAT DATA - QUADRAT PERCENTAGE COVER AND CONSTANCY

Acid Flush (M6d)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	1	0.6%
Lady-fern	Athyrium filix-femina	1	0.1%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	2	1.3%
Star Sedge	Carex echinata	1	0.2%
Glaucous Sedge	Carex flacca	1	0.2%
Bottle Sedge	Carex rostrata	2	2.0%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	2	0.8%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	1	0.3%
Marsh Willowherb	Epilobium palustre	1	0.1%
Water Horsetail	Equisetum fluviatile	1	0.2%
Cross-leaved heath	Erica tetralix	3	1.7%
Eyebright	Euphrasia officinalis	1	0.1%
Yorkshire-fog	Holcus lanatus	2	3.3%
Marsh pennywort	Hydrocotyle vulgaris	1	0.3%
Sharp-flowered rush	Juncus acutiflorus	5	58.9%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	3	7.8%
Bogbean	Menyanthes trifoliata	2	1.4%
Purple Moor-grass	Molinia caerulea	4	10.0%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	1	0.8%
Common Milkwort	Polygala vulgaris	1	0.2%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	2	1.1%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	3.6%
Lesser Spearwort	Ranunculus flammula	1	0.1%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	1	0.1%
Common Ragwort	Senecio jacobaea	1	0.1%
Bog moss	Sphagnum fallax	4	2.9%
Bog moss	Sphagnum palustre	3	2.7%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	3	6.0%
Devil's-bit Scabious	Succisa pratensis	1	0.2%
Marsh Violet	Viola palustris	3	0.9%

Acidic Grassland (U4a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Common bent	Agrostis capillaris	5	16.7%
Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	4	16.0%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	3	2.0%
Common sedge	Carex nigra	2	0.2%
Marsh Thistle	Cirsium palustre	1	0.2%
Cock's-foot	Dactylis glomerata	2	1.0%
Sheep's fescue	Festuca ovina	4	6.0%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	2	1.0%
Heath rush	Juncus squarrosus	2	2.0%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	11.0%
Mat-grass	Nardus stricta	2	1.0%
Lousewort	Pedicularis sylvatica	1	0.2%
Ribwort Plantain	Plantago lanceolata	2	3.0%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment		Technical Appendix 7-1: Phase 1 and NVC
Report Technical Appendices	TA 7.1 - 6	Habitat Surveys 2023

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	2	1.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	4.0%
Selfheal	Prunella vulgaris	3	0.4%
Meadow Buttercup	Ranunculus acris	3	0.4%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	2	0.4%
Common Ragwort	Senecio jacobaea	1	0.2%
Devil's-bit Scabious	Succisa pratensis	4	0.8%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	2	0.4%

Acidic Grassland (U4b)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Common bent	Agrostis capillaris	5	17.5%
Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	5	30.0%
Marsh Thistle	Cirsium palustre	2	0.5%
Sheep's fescue	Festuca ovina	4	10.0%
Yorkshire-fog	Holcus lanatus	5	30.0%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	4	5.0%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	2	2.5%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	4.0%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	4	3.5%

Marshy grassland (M23a) - rush pasture

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Lady-fern	Athyrium filix-femina	2	0.6%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	3	1.0%
Marsh Willowherb	Epilobium palustre	2	0.4%
Sheep's fescue	Festuca ovina	1	1.0%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	12.0%
Sharp-flowered rush	Juncus acutiflorus	4	30.0%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	5	51.0%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	1	0.4%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	2.0%
Meadow Buttercup	Ranunculus acris	1	0.4%
Creeping Buttercup	Ranunculus repens	1	0.6%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	4	5.0%
Marsh violet	Viola palustris	1	0.2%

Marshy grassland (M23b) - rush pasture

Common nameScientific nameConstancy (1-5)Mean % cover	Common name Sci	ientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
---	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------

Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	15%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	5	80%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	3	1%
Creeping Buttercup	Ranunculus repens	3	3%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	2	5%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report		Technical Appendix 7-1: Phase 1 and NVC Habitat
Technical Appendices	TA 7.1 - 7	Surveys 2023

Dwarf shrub heath (H10)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	80%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	2	2%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	20%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	3	5%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	2	2%

Dwarf shrub heath (H12)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	71.3%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	5	1.8%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	1	0.5%
Moss	Hypnum jutlandicum	4	3.8%
Heath rush	Juncus squarrosus	3	1.8%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	15.0%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	1	0.5%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	4	3.8%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	1	0.3%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	3	0.8%
Deergrass	Scirpus caespitosus	1	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	4	8.8%
Bog moss	Sphagnum cuppress	1	2.5%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	5	6.5%
Cowberry	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	1	1.3%

Wet dwarf shrub heath (M15b)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	3	6.4%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	4	6.4%
Common sedge	Carex nigra	1	0.7%
Lichen	Cladonia impexa	1	1.6%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	3	5.0%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	21.4%
Common Cottongrass	Eriophorum angustifolium	1	0.1%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	1	0.7%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	1	1.1%
Marsh Pennywort	Hydrocotyle vulgaris	1	0.1%
Sharp-flowered Rush	Juncus acutiflorus	2	2.0%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	1	0.7%
Heath rush	Juncus squarrosus	1	1.4%
Common wood-rush	Luzula multiflora	1	0.1%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	33.6%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	4	6.1%
Grass-of-Parnassus	Parnassia palustris	1	0.1%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	1	1.4%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	4.6%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	1	0.7%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 8

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	3	3.6%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	1	0.7%
Devil's-bit Scabious	Succisa pratensis	1	1.1%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	3	12.1%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	1	1.4%

Wet dwarf shrub heath (M15c)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	38.3%
Lichen	Cladonia impexa	5	4.0%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	2	1.7%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	5	6.7%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	5.0%
Moss	Hypnum jutlandicum	2	1.7%
Heath rush	Juncus squarrosus	2	0.7%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	23.3%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	5	4.0%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	2	1.7%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	1.7%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	5	8.3%
Devil's-bit Scabious	Succisa pratensis	2	0.3%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	5	11.7%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	2	1.0%

Wet dwarf shrub heath (M15d)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	35%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	3	5%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	1	1%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	3	5%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	5%
Common Cottongrass	Eriophorum angustifolium	1	1%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	3	5%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	25%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	3%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	3	5%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	3	5%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	4	10%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	4	15%

Blanket bog (purple moor-grass) (M25a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	4	6.3%
Lichen	Cladonia impexa	1	0.5%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	2	0.8%
Round-leaved Sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	2	0.3%
Broad Buckler-fern	Dryopteris dilatata	1	0.3%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 9

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	6.8%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	4	5.0%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	77.5%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	5	7.5%
Star moss	Polytrichum juniperinum	3	1.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	2.0%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	1	0.5%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	1	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	1	1.3%

Blanket bog (M17a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	35.0%
Lichen	Cladonia impexa	3	1.5%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	4	5.5%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	1	1.3%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	5.5%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	4	8.8%
Moss	Hypnum cuppressiforme	3	2.5%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	20.0%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	1	2.5%
Sitka spruce	Picea sitchensis	1	0.3%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	1	0.5%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	2.8%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	1	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	5	6.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	1	1.3%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	5	3.5%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	4	6.3%

Blanket bog (M17b)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	35%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	3	10%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	2	2%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	1	1%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	3	5%
Purple Moor-grass	Molinia caerulea	4	15%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	4	15%

Blanket bog (M19a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Hard-fern	Blechnum spicant	1	0.1%
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	44.7%
Glaucous Sedge	Carex flacca	1	0.1%
Lichen	Cladonia impexa	1	0.5%
Wavy hair grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	2	2.7%

Volume	3:	Environmental Impact Assessment	
		Report Technical Appendices	

TA 7.1 - 10

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Round-leaved Sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	1	0.1%
Crowberry	Empetrum nigrum	3	2.6%
Bell Heather	Erica cinerea	2	0.8%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	4	4.9%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	4	11.8%
Moss	Hypnum cuppressiforme	1	0.1%
Moss	Hypnum jutlandicum	1	0.9%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	4	19.7%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	3	3.4%
Sitka spruce	Picea sitchensis	1	0.2%
Moss	Pleurozium schreberi	3	2.0%
Star moss	Polytrichum commune	1	0.5%
Star moss	Polytrichum juniperum	1	0.1%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	2.1%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	1	1.4%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	4	5.2%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	2	2.9%
Bog moss	Sphagnum palustre	1	0.6%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	1	0.3%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	1	0.3%
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	3	4.4%
Cowberry	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	1	0.1%

Wet modified bog (M25a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	4	5.0%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	2	2.0%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	7.0%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	2	2.0%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	5	79.0%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	2	4.0%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	5	2.6%
Moss	Racomitrium languinosum	2	2.4%
Bog moss	Sphagnum compactum	3	2.6%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	2	2.0%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	3	2.4%

Wet modified bog (M19a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	35%
Wavy hair-grass	Deschampsia flexuosa	1	2%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	3	5%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	4	10%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	3	25%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	1	2%
Sitka spruce	Picea sitchensis	1	1%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	3	3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	2	10%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 11

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Bilberry	Vaccinium myrtillus	2	20%

Bog pool (M1)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	3	2.5%
Carnation Sedge	Carex panicea	1	0.5%
Bottle sedge	Carex rostrata	2	0.5%
Round-leaved Sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	3	2.0%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	5.5%
Common cotton grass	Eriophorum angustifolium	5	10.0%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	5	7.5%
Bogbean	Menyanthes trifoliata	5	6.3%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	2	2.5%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	4	7.5%
Broad-leaved Pondweed	Potamogeton polygonifolius	3	5.0%
Bog moss	Sphagnum capillifolium	1	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum cuspidatum	2	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum palustre	2	1.3%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	5	16.3%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	1	1.3%

Bog pool (M3)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	5	7.5%
Round-leaved Sundew	Drosera rotundifolia	4	1.5%
Cross leaved heath	Erica tetralix	5	10.0%
Common cotton grass	Eriophorum angustifolium	5	12.5%
Harestail cotton grass	Eriophorum vaginatum	4	5.0%
Purple moor grass	Molinia caerulea	2	2.5%
Bog Asphodel	Narthecium ossifragum	4	4.0%
Bog moss	Sphagnum papillosum	5	30.0%
Deergrass	Trichophorum cespitosum	2	2.5%

Bracken (U20a)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Common Bent	Agrostis capillaris	3	1.8%
Sweet Vernal-grass	Anthoxanthum odoratum	1	1.3%
Marsh thistle	Cirsium palustre	1	0.3%
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	5	12.5%
Bluebell	Hyacinthoides non-scripta	1	0.8%
Soft rush	Juncus effusus	3	3.8%
Wood-sorrel	Oxalis acetosella	1	0.3%
Tormentil	Potentilla erecta	4	1.3%
Bracken	Pteridium aquilinum	5	78.8%
Meadow Buttercup	Ranunculus acris	1	0.3%
Creeping Buttercup	Ranunculus repens	1	0.5%
Common sorrel	Rumex acetosa	4	2.3%

Volume 3:	Environmental Impact Assessment	
	Report Technical Appendices	

TA 7.1 - 12

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
White Clover	Trifolium repens	1	0.3%
Common Dog-violet	Viola riviniana	1	0.3%

Bracken (U20c)

Common name	Scientific name	Constancy (1-5)	Mean % cover
Yorkshire fog	Holcus lanatus	4	5%
Bracken	Pteridium aquilinum	5	95%

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 13

APPENDIX 7.1.2. HABITAT CONDITION ASSESSMENT SUMMARIES

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Blanket Bog M17a/b)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M19a)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M25a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M19a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M25a)
Feature extent	There should be no measurable decline in the area of the feature.	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015				
Vegetation composition -frequency of indicator species.	At least 6 indicator species should be present.	Favourable: mean 6.6 species/quadrat	Favourable: mean 7.4 species/quadrat	Unfavourable: mean 5.0 species/quadrat	Favourable: mean 6.0 species/quadrat	Unfavourable: mean 4.6 species/quadrat
Vegetation composition - cover of indicator species.	(1) At least 50% of vegetation cover should consist of at least 3 indicator species.	Favourable: mean 70% indicator sp cover	Favourable: mean 86% indicator sp cover	Unfavourable: mean 29% indicator sp cover	Favourable: mean 82% indicator sp cover	Unfavourable: mean 27% indicator sp cover
	(2) Sphagnum cover should not consist only of Sphagnum fallax (S. recurvum p.p.).	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(3) Any one of <i>Eriophorum</i> <i>vaginatum</i> , Ericaceous species collectively, or <i>Trichophorum</i> should not individually exceed 75% of the vegetation cover.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation composition - cover of other species	(1) Less than 1% of vegetation cover should be made up of non-native species.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) Less than 10% of	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Blanket Bog/Wet Modified Bog

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 14

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Blanket Bog M17a/b)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M19a)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M25a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M19a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M25a)
	vegetation cover should be made up of scattered native trees and scrub.					
	 (3) Less than 1% of vegetation cover should consist of, collectively, Agrostis capillaris, Holcus lanatus, Phragmites australis, Pteridium aquilinum, Ranunculus repens. 	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation structure - indicators of browsing.	(1) Less than 33% of the last complete growing season's shoots of dwarf-shrub species (collectively but excluding <i>Betula nana</i> and <i>Myrica</i> <i>gale</i>) should shows signs of browsing.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) In pioneer stage regrowth, or where there is <i>Betula</i> <i>nana</i> or <i>Myrica gale</i> (at any stage of regrowth), less than 66% of the last complete growing season's shoots of the dwarf-shrubs, (collectively) should show	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 15

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Blanket Bog M17a/b)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M19a)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M25a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M19a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M25a)
	signs of browsing.					
Vegetation structure - disturbance	(1) There should be no observable signs of burning into the moss, liverwort or lichen layer or exposure of peat surface due to burning.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) There should be no signs of burning or other disturbance (e.g. mowing) in the sensitive areas.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Physical structure - peat erosion.	The extent of eroding peat should be less than the extent of stable re- deposited peat and new growth of bog vegetation within the feature.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Physical structure - indicators of active drainage and/or ground disturbance due to herbivore and human activity.	(1) Less than 10% of the total feature area, should be disturbed bare ground and/or show signs of active drainage, resulting from ditches or heavy trampling or tracking.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 16

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Blanket Bog M17a/b)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M19a)	Current condition (Blanket Bog M25a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M19a)	Current condition (Wet Modified Bog M25a)
	(2) Less than 10% of the <i>Sphagnum</i> cover should be crushed, broken, and/or pulled-up.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Wet heath

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Wet Heath M15b)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15c)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15a)
Feature extent	There should be no measurable decline in the area of the feature.	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015
Vegetation composition - frequency of indicator species.	<i>Erica tetralix</i> should be present within a 20m radius of the centre of the quadrat.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation composition - cover	(1) At least 50% of vegetation cover should consist of indicator species and at least 20% of the vegetation cover should consist of ericoid species.	Favourable: mean 54% indicator sp cover, mean ericoid cover 28%	Favourable: mean 74% indicator sp cover, mean ericoid cover 45%	Favourable: mean 77% indicator sp cover, mean ericoid cover 56%
	(2) Less than 20% of vegetation cover should be made up of scattered native trees and scrub	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(3) <10% cover should be bracken	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(4) <1% cover should be non-native species	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(5) Less than 1% of vegetation cover should consist of, collectively, Agrostis capillaris, Holcus lanatus, Phragmites australis, Pteridium aquilinum, Ranunculus repens	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 17

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Wet Heath M15b)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15c)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15a)
	(6) Less than 10% of the vegetation cover should consist of <i>Juncus effusus</i>	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	 (7) None of the following should make up more than 75% of vegetation cover: (a) dwarf-shrubs; or (b) graminoids. 	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation structure - indicators of browsing.	(1) Less than 33% of the last complete growing season's shoots of dwarf- shrub species (collectively but excluding <i>Betula</i> <i>nana</i> and <i>Myrica</i> <i>gale</i>) should shows signs of browsing.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) In pioneer stage regrowth, or where there is <i>Betula nana</i> or <i>Myrica gale</i> (at any stage of regrowth), less than 66% of the last complete growing season's shoots of the dwarf-shrubs, (collectively) should show signs of browsing.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation structure - disturbance	(1) There should be no observable signs of burning into the moss, liverwort or lichen layer or exposure of peat surface due to burning.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) There should be no signs of burning or other disturbance in the sensitive areas.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Physical structure - indicators of increased active drainage and drying-out, and peat erosion.	(1) Less than 10% of the total feature area, should be disturbed bare ground and/or show signs of active drainage, resulting from ditches or heavy trampling or tracking.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 18

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Wet Heath M15b)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15c)	Current condition (Wet Heath M15a)
	(2) The extent of eroding peat and/or mineral soil should be less than the extent of re- deposited peat and/or mineral soil and new growth of wet heath and/or bog vegetation within the feature	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
Physical structure – indicators of ground disturbance due to herbivore and human activity	(1) Less than 10% of the <i>Sphagnum</i> cover should be crushed, broken, and/or pulled-up.	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) Less than 10% of the ground should be disturbed bare ground*	Favourable	Favourable	Favourable

Dry Dwarf Shrub Heath

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Dry Dwarf Shrub Heath H10)	Current condition (Dry Dwarf Shrub Heath H10)
Feature extent	There should be no measurable	Favourable: no apparent	Favourable: no apparent
	decline in the area of the feature.	change since 2015	change since 2015
Vegetation composition -	At least 1 species of moss or	Favourable	Favourable
frequency of bryophytes	liverwort or non-crustose lichen		
and lichens.	should be present.		
Vegetation composition -	(1) At least 50% of vegetation cover	Favourable: mean 80%	Favourable: mean 81%
cover and frequency of	should consist of indicator species.	indicator sp cover	indicator sp cover
dwarf shrubs	(2) At least 25% of dwarf-shrub	Favourable: 100% dwarf	Favourable: 100% dwarf
	cover should be made up of Group	shrubs Group (i)	shrubs Group (i)
	(i) indicators		
	(3) Less than 50% of dwarf shrub	Favourable - zero Group	Favourable - zero Group
	cover should be made up of Group	(ii) indicators	(ii) indicators
	(ii) indicators		
	(4) For all types of heath at least	Favourable: mean 2.0	Favourable: mean
	two indicator species should be	indicator species present.	3.5 indicator species
	present from Group (i)		present.
Vegetation composiion -	(1) <1% cover should be non-native	Favourable	Favourable
cover of other species	species		
	(2) <10% cover should be bracken	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 19

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Dry Dwarf Shrub Heath H10)	Current condition (Dry Dwarf Shrub Heath H10)
	(3) Less than 20% of vegetation cover should be made up of scattered native trees and scrub	Favourable	Favourable
	(4) Less than 1% of the vegetation cover should consist of invasive "weedy" species (collectively Cirsium arvense, Cirsium vulgare, large docks (excluding Rumex acetosa), , Ranunculus repens, or Urtica dioica).	Favourable	Favourable
	(5) Less than 10% of the vegetation cover should consist of <i>Juncus effusus</i>	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation structure - disturbance	(1) There should be no signs of burning or other disturbance in the sensitive areas.	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) On the remainder of the feature, outside areas identified in(1), all growth phases of heather should occur throughout the area.At least 10% of the heather should be in the late mature growth phase.	Favourable	Favourable
Vegetation structure - indicators of browsing.	(1) Less than 33% of the last complete growing season's shoots of dwarf-shrub species (collectively but excluding <i>Betula nana</i> and <i>Myrica gale</i>) should shows signs of browsing.	Favourable	Favourable
	(2) In pioneer stage regrowth, or where there is <i>Betula nana</i> or <i>Myrica gale</i> (at any stage of regrowth), less than 66% of the last complete growing season's shoots of the dwarf-shrubs, (collectively) should show signs of browsing.	Favourable	Favourable
Physical structure — indicators of ground disturbance due to herbivore and human activity	(1) Less than 10% of the ground should be disturbed bare ground	Favourable	Favourable

Mire Grasslands and Rush pasture

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Rush pasture M23a)	Current condition (Rush pasture M25b)
Feature extent	No significant loss of the feature.	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015	Favourable: no apparent change since 2015
Sward composition - frequency of indicators.	Positive indicators confirm presence of target community.	Favourable	Favourable
Sward composition: frequency and cover of Molinia caerulea and bulky Juncus spp	Cover of all species combined should be within the range 25-80%. For M25, <i>Molinia</i> should be at least frequent throughout the sward.	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 20

Attribute	Target	Current condition (Rush pasture M23a)	Current condition (Rush pasture M25b)
Sward composition: frequency of negative indicators agricultural weeds	No species should be more than occasional throughout the sward or together more than 5% cover.	Favourable	Favourable
Sward composition: cover of negative indicators agriculturally favoured species	No species should be individually at more than 10% cover, or collectively at more than 20% cover	Favourable	Favourable
Sward composition: cover of negative indicators rank grasses and sedge	Arrhenatherum and Deschampsia together should cover less than 10% of the sward. Bulky wetland species collectively should cover less than 20% or the sward	Favourable	Favourable
Sward composition: cover of negative indicators scrub and tree species, and bracke	Woody species and bracken together should be at no more than 5% cover	Favourable	Favourable
Sward structure: average height	Swards should usually be within the range 5-80 cm	Favourable	Favourable
Sward structure: litter	Total extent of litter should be no more than 25% cover of the sward	Favourable	Favourable
Sward structure: extent of bare ground (not rock)	Total extent should be no more than 10% of the sward	Favourable	Favourable

Volume 3: Environmental Impact Assessment Report Technical Appendices

TA 7.1 - 21